	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/618,202	GEBRESELASSIE ET AL.	
MACHINIOI-IIIIIIIIIIIIII	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arthur L. Corbin	1761	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Arthur L. Corbin.	(3)		
(2) <u>Allen Kipnes</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 November 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1,13,16,18,23-40,23-40,42			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Examiner's Amendment for agreed upon claim changes Also, applicant intends to submit a 312 amendment to claim the limitations of withdrawn claims 13 and 16. This 312 amendment will be entered.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)	